



# Visa Refusal Cases

I have considered the applicant's circumstances in their home country. The applicant has not demonstrated sufficient economic ties to their home country. I have considered the financial capacity of the applicant's family members and I acknowledge that the applicant has personal ties in their home country however I find that these ties do not, of themselves, constitute a strong incentive to return home at the completion of the proposed study. I am therefore not satisfied that the applicant has been able to demonstrate personal or economic ties that would serve as a significant incentive to return to their home country.

I acknowledge the applicant has not provided any evidence of strong personal ties to Australia that would serve as an incentive for them to remain at the completion of their proposed study. I note the applicant's genuine temporary entrant (GTE) statement provides information on the chosen provider in Australia and on the course, they propose to undertake. Additionally, I find that the applicant has provided information on alternate options of education providers in Australia, in other countries and in their home country. The applicant's statement outlines the research they have conducted to gather this information. However, when considered holistically against the other aspects of the genuine temporary entrant criteria, I find that this does not outweigh the concerns raised in other factors of my assessment.

I have considered the value of the course to the applicant's future. The applicant states on completion of the course, they will return to their home Country and begin their career. The applicant was contacted by the Department on 29/06/2022 to discuss the information provided in their application. During the interview, they were unable to answer basic accountancy questions or demonstrate any reasonable understanding of the subject. I have significant concerns that the applicant has not undertaken relevant research on the course as claimed in their statement of purpose, which leads me to believe that their primary reasons for travel to Australia may be for reasons other than to study. Also, while it is reasonable to study with the hope of improving job prospects, I am concerned the applicant has not provided convincing evidence as to how they would achieve this against the prevailing employment circumstances for individuals with their anticipated educational and employment background. Given the applicant's individual circumstances, I consider that the significant cost of the course is unlikely to be offset by the potential income derived by the applicant in their expected employment field. Accordingly, I am not convinced the proposed course will add value to the applicant's future. I give weight to apparent lack of value of the course to the applicant's future, which indicates that their primary motivation for pursuing this course may be other than the quality of education in Australia.

I have taken into consideration the applicant's previous immigration history. As the applicant has no immigration history to Australia or a third country, this factor was not relevant to my assessment.

As the applicant is over 18 years of age, the intention of the applicant's parent, legal guardian or spouse was not relevant to my assessment.

I have considered whether there are any other relevant matters, however in this case I have determined there are none.

